

New Frontier

VOICE OF BRITISH NATIONALISM

MAY 1982

12p

The Falklands fiasco

WAS IT

INCOMPETENCE

OR WAS IT

TREASON?



ARGENTINA'S successful invasion of the Falkland Islands is one of the most calamitous and humiliating episodes in recent British history. What we must ask ourselves is this: Was the invasion made possible just by the monumental incompetence of the Government, the Foreign Office and the Ministry of Defence, or was it the result of a deliberate act of collusion with Argentina which amounts to nothing less than High Treason?

Whatever the answer to the above question may be, one thing is quite clear: the responsibility for what has happened lies firmly at the door of successive British Governments, which have been conducting secret negotiations with Argentina for many years. This readiness to talk with the Argentines must have given them the impression that the sovereignty of the Falkland Islands was a negotiable matter. It was not, is not, and never can be: the Falklands, their Dependencies and the British Antarctic Territories are indisputably British, and there the matter ends. A great deal of blame must also be attached to the Foreign Office, which, when it is not actually staffed by traitors, spies and queers, seems to contain a large number of people who hold decidedly anti-British sympathies and others of proven ineptitude.

During the early 1960s Argentina began stepping up her totally unjustified claims to the Falklands for two main reasons: firstly because

such claims diverted the attention of the Argentine people away from the appalling poverty in which so many of them live; and secondly, because it seemed likely that vast deposits of oil would be found in the vicinity of the Islands. In 1965 the UN General Assembly voted to 'invite' Britain and Argentina to discuss the "problem" of the Falkland Islands with a view to finding a

"peaceful solution."

Until that time there had been no 'problem' because in fact, as well as in international law, the Islands were recognised as being sovereign British territory, and also because British Governments had at their disposal the means to see off any armed intervention launched from Argentina. But needless to say, the Government of the day, anxious as are all British Governments to curry favour with their international paymasters, complied with the UN's request for talks to begin, and they have been going on ever since.



MRS. THATCHER
Why has she been prepared to 'negotiate'?

Whites. One Tory back bench MP has already made charges that there was collusion between Britain and Argentina, and it has also been suggested that Mrs. Thatcher herself knew about Argentine invasion plans much earlier than has been publicly admitted. Certainly it seems unbelievable that preparations for an invasion could have been completed, and an invasion force sailed several hundred miles by sea from the Argentine to the Falklands, without our intelligence services in the area informing the Government, and that the first firm confirmation the Government was supposed to have had of the invasion was during the morning after it occurred.

WHY DID CARRINGTON GO TO ISRAEL?

Furthermore, it seems strange that at the height of the crisis that preceded the invasion Lord Carrington saw fit to visit Israel, which is, be it noted, a major supplier of arms to Argentina (as is Britain!). Did he go there to receive his orders?


It is tragic that even if the Government had had the will to do

Contd. on page 2



BRITAIN'S MOMENT OF SHAME

The Argentines hoist their flag over the Falklands (above); Who was to blame? Carrington (left) and Nott (right) must be numbered among the main culprits




BLACK KILLERS GET OFF HOOK

Mainly coloured jury throws out murder charge in Terry May death trial

WHEN TERRY MAY (pictured right), was set upon and brutally murdered by a mob of young Blacks, the British public expected the culprits to be brought to justice.

Now it has been announced that a mainly coloured jury have thrown out the murder charges and convicted a few of the Blacks on much lesser charges. Read our view about the Terry May murder trial on page 3.



GOVERNMENT BOOBED

The illegal landing in March of Argentine "scrap metal merchants" on the Falklands Dependency of South Georgia was almost certainly a ploy devised by the Argentine Junta to provide an excuse for launching an invasion of the Falklands themselves. If it was, the British Government fell right into the trap by making loud noises about the matter instead of simply removing the illegal entrants from the island. But it is just as likely that the Government was privy to Argentine intentions and played along with them in the hope of ridding Britain of the 'problem' of the Falkland Islands rather in the same way that Lord Carrington had rid Britain of the Rhodesian problem by betraying the Rhodesian

New Frontier

No. 16 MAY 1982

Editor: Ronald Rickcord

Contributing Editor: Charles Parker

New Frontier, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.
Tel: 0273 777540

A SHAMEFUL EPISODE

MRS. THATCHER came to power in 1979 largely as the result of five specific pledges made to the electorate. They were: the curbing of inflation; the safeguarding of employment; the restoration of law and order; the reduction of immigration; and the allocation of more resources for national defence.

On all five counts Mrs. Thatcher has failed abysmally. The inflation rate is still in double figures; unemployment has reached a post-war record; crime has rocketed and there have been unprecedented riots in many of our cities; immigration has continued unabated; and there has been a continuous run down of our Armed Forces which has culminated in Britain being humiliated by Argentina's invasion of the Falkland Islands.

Of all Mrs. Thatcher's failures, her Government's ineptitude in allowing Argentina to invade the Falklands with such ease is the worst. It is the most shameful episode in our history since Suez. At the time of going to press we are uncertain whether Mrs. Thatcher's belated resolution to protect the Falkland Islanders will amount to anything more than words; we hope that it will, but suspect that protracted parleying followed by an abject compromise is the more likely outcome.

The sense of outrage that the invasion engendered among ordinary British patriots was made infinitely worse by the nauseating spectacle of Lord Carrington and Mr. Nott trying to justify their incompetence on our TV screens. Mr. Nott simply had no answer for his criminal stupidity in running down the Royal Navy, while Lord Carrington could only mouth pious platitudes about "seeking diplomatic solutions" at the UN. Relying on the UN to restore Britain's sovereignty in the Falklands is about as useful as going to a chiropract to get a cure for baldness. We might well ask ourselves how it was that two such dithering drips as Carrington and Nott managed to attain such important posts? And why on earth has Nott been permitted to continue as Defence Secretary?

In more sensible times a humiliation of the magnitude just suffered by Britain would have toppled the Government. Today, as at the time of Suez, that is unlikely to happen; and, in any case, a succeeding government is unlikely to be made of sterner stuff than the present lily-livered gang.

But what is desperately needed now is **action**: recriminations can come later. *New Frontier* fervently hopes that by the time these words are in print Argentina will have been taught a lesson that she will never forget. Let us make it absolutely clear that the British Lion's tail cannot be twisted with impunity. And may British Governments never again forget that the Falklanders and their Islands are forever British.

FOUR BLACKS who were arrested in Bedford after an incident in which a woman police constable was bitten and had her finger broken were released on bail when the police station was besieged by a mob of coloured people. Mr. James Jardine, chairman of the Police Federation, later said: "I have never heard of anything so ludicrous. What is the law coming to? How much longer do we have to be humiliated?"

MRS. SHIRLEY WILLIAMS has suggested that the SDP may include the legalisation of cannabis in its election manifesto. The SDP thinks that the present ban on cannabis 'antagonises' young Blacks.

SPECIAL soccer and cricket coaching sessions exclusively for Asians have started at the Dewsbury Road sports centre in Leeds. Labour councillors have denied that they are operating a system of apartheid in favour of Asian children.

FOLLOWING the Police Federation's demand for the restoration of capital punishment, the British National Party's organiser in Ipswich, Mr. A. Whitehead, collected 40 signatures supporting the return of

capital punishment in the space of one and a half hours in a local pub. The landlady assisted him.

NEWS ROUND-UP

IN three years the salary of Jamaican-born Mr. Clifton Robinson, deputy chairman of the Commission for Racial Equality, has been raised from £3,375 to £18,777. Mr. Robinson complains that his salary is still not enough.

WALTHAM FOREST'S Labour-controlled Council is supporting local Moslem demands that uncoffined bodies wrapped only in white shrouds should be allowed to be buried in the 'Moslem area' of Chingford Mount Cemetery. There have been numerous complaints from local residents that this practice will create a health hazard.

MR. FRED HILL, 72, has been jailed for the twentieth time for refusing to wear a crash helmet when riding

YOU WILL BELIEVE IN THE HOLOCAUST!

Jews seek to ban free discussion of 'war crime' stories

THE Institute of Jewish Affairs is pressing the Government to introduce legislation to prevent 'neo-Nazis' denying the alleged genocide of six million Jews before and during World War 2. *New Frontier* would like to know on what grounds do Jews living in Britain suppose that they have a right to meddle with our laws or to tell British people what they should or should not believe? In our opinion this latest move by British Jewry is sheer impertinence.

DISBELIEF

Does it not occur to these people that if the truth about the 'holocaust' fable is so cut and dried there should be no requirement to make the populace believe it by compulsion? As it is, they can hardly blame people for regarding the story with some scepticism when it is remembered that the total number of Jews living in pre-war Europe was only 6,500,000. Such scepticism is strengthened by the large numbers of 'survivors' who keep turning up much to the

embarrassment of the proponents of the 'holocaust' myth; and it turns to downright disbelief owing to the perpetuation of the laughable tales about concentration camp inmates being processed into soap and lampshades during the height of the war!

FANATICISM

One of those who has been prominent in pressing for legislation to outlaw the freedom of expression is Mr. Ivan Lawrence, MP for Burton-on-Trent. Mr. Lawrence is apparently pursuing his particular hobbyhorse with the same fanaticism with which the Inquisition tried to compel men like Galileo to believe that the world was the centre of the universe, despite all the evidence to the contrary.

Let Mr. Lawrence and his Jewish friends believe what they like and let Gentiles do likewise. But *New Frontier* insists that Jews who want to tamper with our laws or prevent Britons believing what they will should clear out of our country.

LOOK — NO BLACKS!

Cartoon recently printed in daily press



The kind of evidence the Jews want banned. This pair of pictures demonstrates the fraudulence employed in 'Holocaust' propaganda. The figures standing in the two pictures are clearly the same people but in the bottom scene a pile of bodies has been inserted in the foreground by a process of trick photography. To expose this fraud would be illegal if the Jews have their way.

QUOTE

"The job of British Foreign Secretary is as exhilarating as ever."
— Lord Carrington speaking at Chatham House on March 25th

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — The answer to the massive increase in crimes of violence is not, as Mr. White-law seems to think, more police on the streets, but longer and harsher jail sentences. As it is, the present parole system reduces many sentences by more than half, and prison conditions are so comfortable that many prisoners don't want to leave.

The do-gooding liberals who want to 'rehabilitate' criminals and who oppose tough jail conditions aren't supported by the public, particularly those unfortunate enough to have been the victims of violent criminals. At the moment prisoners enjoy three square meals a day, spend their days doing light work or studying, and their evenings watching TV. Is that supposed to be punishment? More important, does it deter would-be violent criminals?

No; it would be far better to impose rigorous conditions in our prisons, take away the luxuries and mete out severe punishment to any prisoner who refused to conform to the prison regime. Such measures would be the surest way to stop violent crime and rehabilitate prisoners. They certainly wouldn't want to return to prison in a hurry!

MISS CHRISTINE YIANNI
London N16.

(Editor's Note: Perhaps Miss Yianni will agree that violent crimes could also be reduced by the restoration of capital and corporal punishment.)

Sir, — Thank you for publishing my letter about war widow Mrs. Francis Willis. There has been an overwhelming response numbering more than a hundred letters of sympathy and support. Perhaps you will allow me to thank all these people through your letter column. Nearly all of them told me that they were glad to see that at least one political party was concerned about the welfare of people like Mrs. Willis. Many of those who wrote to me expressed their disgust that war widows are not allowed to participate in the Cenotaph march past on Remembrance Day or to place their own tributes at the foot of the Cenotaph.

Finally, I would like to thank *New Frontier* for supporting the war widows and war disabled. It seems that your paper and the party you support are the only ones which show any real concern for those "who gave their all."

LEN SMITH
Northampton

FALKLANDS FIASCO

(Contd. from front page)

something to deter the Argentines from invading the Falklands it was unable to do so because it had reduced the British naval presence in the South Atlantic to one ship, *HMS Endurance*, which has only two guns. It accomplished this reduction of the Navy by selling off many warships, several of them to the Argentine Navy! (How tragic it is that the lamentable state of affairs should be allowed to occur in an area where, sixty-eight years ago, one of the Royal Navy's most decisive battles, the Battle of the Falkland Islands, was fought. How those "less British sailors who fought that battle must today be turning in their graves!")

The humiliation that has been heaped on Britain as a result of the debacle in the Falklands is well deserved. If we will vote polltrons and buffoons (and possibly traitors) into high office what else are we to expect? But this is not the time to allocate blame for what has happened. It is the time for action. There must be no more pussyfooting around! Our immediate concern must be for the welfare and liberation of the Falkland Islanders, and if that means teaching the Argentines a lesson they will never forget, so be it. The Falklanders and their islands are British: let that never be forgotten.

his motorcycle. Mr. Hill said that he would continue to fight the law which allows turbaned Sikhs to ride without crash helmets because it was discriminatory.

ONE-YEAR crash courses have been started to enable unqualified students, particularly Blacks, to obtain degrees. The Labour-controlled Inner London Education Authority favours a programme of positive discrimination to recruit more black teachers.

"ALL MEN are equal, but some are more equal than others" said Mr. Tom Barrett, a Yorkshire miner, when referring to golden handshakes and other perks given to people like Mr. Arthur Scargill and other leaders of the National Union of Mineworkers. Mr. Barrett is annoyed that on his retirement he will get only £100 from the Union whereas the Union leaders get the use of 3.5 litre Rovers and cheap home loans.

THE British Government has agreed to give £2,500,000 aid to Grenada following demands from the country's People's Revolutionary Government.

THE MURDER OF TERRY MAY

... And how in death he got no justice

IF ANYONE could claim to be 'disadvantaged' it was poor Terry May. He was born a cripple; he died because he happened to be the wrong colour; and even in death he did not get justice. Despite the overwhelming guilt of those responsible for the intentional and unprovoked attack on Terry, not one of his assailants was found guilty of his MURDER — and murder it undoubtedly was — though one Black was found guilty of manslaughter and several others were found guilty of riotous behaviour and affray.

BLACKS ON JURY

The outcome of the trial was hardly surprising because the defendants were allowed virtually to select a jury of their own choice. As a result of one objection after another filed by the defence lawyers, the jury eventually consisted of 5 Blacks, 2 Asians, a Jew and ONLY 4 PURE NATIVE BRITONS! The jury appointed a Black woman as its forewoman.

In addition to this, police investigations of the crime were constantly hampered by interference from 'social workers', 'community workers', clergymen and other busybodies whose job it seemed to be to protect the murderers. These busybodies were allowed to walk in and out of police interview rooms whenever suspects

were being questioned, and quite obviously their presence made normal methods of questioning quite impossible. Even then several of the defendants withdrew statements they had originally made to the police.

Since Magna Carta and until comparatively recent times juries have been chosen at random from citizens of good reputation, and this has ensured that justice was usually done and seen to be done. Now, however, it can frequently be seen that justice is not being done. There can be no doubt that by giving such powers to defendants to reject jurors our legislators have played right into the hands of the criminal fraternity, and particularly the criminal elements among the 'ethnic minorities' residing in our midst.

DISASTROUS

The consequences of this legislation have been disastrous as is shown by the high number of acquittals secured at the Bristol, Brixton, and Toxteth riot trials, and now the Terry May murder trial. As a result, British justice is rapidly falling into disrepute, and this is inevitably increasing the contemptuous attitude with which many people now regard the law. In addition, this contempt for the law has not been lessened by the farcical proceedings of such quasi-judicial bodies as the Scar-

man and Hytner Inquiries, when Blacks were allowed to make monkeys of eminent lawyers with impunity, and the Deptford fire inquest, when the coroner and police were made a laughing stock by recalcitrant and impertinent black youths.

In such circumstances it is hardly surprising that res-

pect for law and order is so rapidly diminishing. Unless this trend is quickly reversed our country will soon be ungovernable.

Two things urgently require to be done if we are to prevent that situation becoming a reality. First, juries must be selected from people of good reputation and unquestioned British

stock; and second, capital and corporal punishment must be restored just as soon as the necessary legislation can be enacted.

Failure to act on these suggestions will result in many more tragedies of the sort which sadly terminated Terry May's short life. Let us ensure that he did not die in vain.

The savages that escaped justice



RONALD PILGRIM
Manslaughter



GARY HUGGINS
Riot



JOEL WRIGHT
Riot and affray



GARNETT HANSON
Riot and affray

7-year-olds aware of racial differences

CHILDREN in racially mixed areas are aware of racial differences at as early an age as 7. This is the finding of an en-

quiry recently carried out among White, West Indian and Asian pupils in 16 multi-racial primary schools.

It shows in fact that racial preference for one's own is a deeply natural instinct — something that we have been saying for years but which has been denied by those committed to the building of a multi-racial society.

For children at the age of 7 could not possibly understand the significance of so-called racialist propaganda — even if, as is probably the case with only a minority, they were subjected to it.

The enquiry shows that the multi-racial experiment is doomed — rejected by young and old.

TALKING POINTS

by FRONTIERSMAN

GOOD RIDDANCE!

A few days before Argentina invaded the Falkland Islands Lord Carrington made a speech that he must now bitterly regret. Speaking about Britain's present role in world affairs at a Chatham House function held to mark the Foreign Office's bicentenary, Lord Carrington said: "We have far more opportunity to exercise influence than the bare statistics of military and economic strength would suggest." He compounded his folly by adding: "My decisions, if they are sensible ones, need to be based on the right information and expert advice... I am the most advised man in the country." Really! Was it advice (or orders) Lord Carrington sought when he departed for Israel during the height of the crisis preceding the invasion of the Falklands? Or was he simply living up to his reputation of being a 'duplicitous bastard'? Well, one good thing has come out of the humiliating Falklands affair — Carrington, betrayer of Rhodesia's Whites and now the Falkland Islanders, has gone. And good riddance! But why, oh why, hasn't the other dithering drip, John Nott, been given his marching orders?

GUTLESS JIM

During the recent debate in the Commons about law and order, former Prime



CALLAGHAN
Against capital punishment

Minister Jim Callaghan declared his opposition to the restoration of capital punishment on the grounds that the decision to go ahead with an execution was an 'awesome responsibility' for any Home Secretary to have to undertake. This attitude typifies the gutlessness of many British politicians; they are prepared to accept the trappings of power, but not the responsibility that goes with it. But Callaghan's remarks prompt me to ask why should Home Secretaries get involved in these matters anyway? Surely, if a murderer is found guilty by a jury and sentenced to death by a judge, providing the verdict and sentence are upheld at any subsequent appeal to the Law Lords, the execution should take place without any recourse to politicians and without any more ado.

BLACK POPE?

In the wake of the Archbishop of Canterbury's expressed wish that a black man should be appointed to an English bishopric, comes the news that the Barbados-born Vicar of Catford, Canon Wood, has been appointed the new Archdeacon of Southwark. But why stop there? Why not make him a bishop now? Indeed, why not make him Archbishop of Canterbury? Surely in the interests of Christian charity and multiracial harmony Dr. Runcie would be prepared to stand down in the Canon's favour — or is the failure to confer a higher ecclesiastical post on the Canon yet another example of what it means to be racially disadvantaged? In view of recent reports that Anglicans and Roman Catholics are considering a merger under the primacy of the Pope, Canon Wood must stand as good a chance as anyone of becoming the next occupant of the Throne of Saint Peter. Certainly he's the right colour!

COURAGE REWARDED

I never cease to be amazed by the courage so often shown by members of the fair sex. We should be proud of them. Take for instance that grand little lady, Miss

Suzanne Puttock, the 31-year-old London teacher who I mentioned in this column two months ago. Readers will remember that after being assaulted in her classroom by Jamaican-born Mrs. Cyrille Alecendor, Suzanne took out a private summons because the authorities were reluctant to initiate proceedings. When the half-witted magistrate who heard the case told Suzanne that her summons was a waste of public funds and that she should expect to be assaulted, brave Suzanne didn't lose her composure. Now her assailant, who already has seven convictions for attacks on the police, has been convicted and remanded for reports on her mental stability. I'm glad that Suzanne's courage has been rewarded.



BRAVE
SUZANNE



HER
ATTACKER

DEPTFORD FARCE

There have been reports that a second inquest will be held into last year's Deptford fire in which 13 black teenagers died. After the first inquest last May, I would have thought that the authorities would be reluctant to instigate a repeat performance of those farcical proceedings. Readers who followed the course of the inquest will remember that some of the 200 Blacks who visited the house on the evening that the fire occurred retracted their original statements made to the police because, they alleged, the police had forced the statements out of them by means of brutality and threats. Readers will also remember the insolence with which the Coroner and other court officials were treated by several of the young Blacks who attended the inquest. It therefore seems to me to be highly questionable whether any useful purpose will be served by going through this expensive charade again unless there is real determination to apportion blame to where it truly belongs — even if some people do threaten to start a riot if the result is not to their liking.

COMMON MARKET COMMENT

ANOTHER JUNKET

ONLY some 200 of the EEC's 270 million people were invited to attend the ceremonial luncheon that was recently held in Brussels to celebrate the twenty-fifth anniversary of the signing of the Treaty of Rome. The number typifies the elitism of the Community. No room for the *hoi polloi*! It is yet another indication of how the EEC is being used for the exclusive benefit of a small cabal of internationalist politicians, bankers and business entrepreneurs who have done very nicely out of the creation of that soulless and monolithic millstone which weighs down so heavily on the rest of us. It would be interesting to know how much the luncheon cost us Euro-taxpayers.

Like other Euro-junkets, functions of this sort must convince the more discerning that the EEC was never created for the benefit of ordinary Europeans. However many junkets are held and however much pro-Market propaganda is disseminated, nothing can disguise the fact that the monster to which the Treaty of Rome gave birth has been a catastrophic failure. After twenty-five years the EEC is in a state of

total disarray and its members can seldom agree on a single issue. Bearing in mind the continual demands for money that the Community imposes on Britain and the extravagant way that money is spent, the farcical posturing of its so-called Parliament, the busy-body nature of its Court of Justice, and its burgeoning bureaucracy, is it any wonder that the vast majority of Britons want to get out? We confidently predict that the EEC will fall apart long before another twenty-five years have passed!

EEC SUCKS BRITAIN DRY

EEC proposals to increase payments made to eight million European farmers for foodstuffs will add nearly £1,000 million to Britain's annual food bill, or about 4p in each pound. At the same time the EEC is demanding £620 million from Britain, raising our gross contribution to the Community's 1982 budget to £2,667 million! These figures are beyond the comprehension of most ordinary folk. All we can say is that someone must be doing very well out of Britain's membership of the EEC — but it's certainly not Britain!

FIRST MARCH SHOWS STRENGTH OF B.N.P.

ANY DOUBTS about the potential of the newly formed British National Party were dispelled in London on April 24th, when the party held its first major activity — a march in protest against the Government's vacillating policy over the Falkland Islands.

Between 400 and 500 turned up for the march — more than double the number that had attended any past activity of the New National Front. More pleasing, the composition of the marchers reflected a true cross section of the British people, being made up of all ages, classes and sexes. It was like the marches of old, although not yet as large. The marchers were orderly and perfectly behaved as they proceeded on their way from Marble Arch, down Park Lane and Piccadilly,

around Trafalgar Square and down to the Victoria Embankment, where the march ended in an outdoor rally. Particularly impressive was the flag party, which bore a forest of Union Jacks.

NO OPPOSITION

The march encountered not a squib of opposition from the large London crowds as it went by — showing that the hostile reception greeting nationalist marches in the past has always been organised and planned, and has never been spontaneous. This time publicity over the march was held from left-wing groups until it was too late to organise opposition, and the march took place entirely peacefully as a result.

At the rally on the Embankment BNP speakers Kenneth McWilliam, Ray Hill and John Tyndall were accompanied by guest speakers Lady Jane Birdwood of WISE and Major Alan Plane of the 'Keep the Falklands British' Association. David Bruce acted as Chairman.

Predictably, the march received no mention in the mass media.

The size of turn-out, although not yet up to the level of nationalist marches in the middle to late 1970s, was big enough to demonstrate the vastly increased strength that has come from the recent merger in which various nationalist groups came together to form the BNP. It justifies the view that the new party will soon be the most powerful nationalist combination in the country.



BNP marches through London

Tapes for your library

NNF Recordings offer the following two cassettes dealing with topics of interest to nationalists:

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Extracts of speeches from the New National Front rally in London on September 5th 1981 on the theme 'Whites unite or go under!' Speakers include Len Bearsford Walker, Kenneth McWilliam, Ray Hill (guest speaker), Derek Merry and NNF Chairman John Tyndall. A substantial part of John Tyndall's closing speech is recorded.

Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on the theme 'The coming British revolution'. In this talk Mr. Tyndall exposes the fraudulence of the system known as 'democracy' and outlines the vital political changes needed in Britain to bring about national recovery.

TYNDALL SPEAKS

Side 1: Talk by John Tyndall on the theme 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage'. In this talk Mr. Tyndall speaks of the world-wide dispersion of the peoples of British stock and the necessity for them to unite to guarantee their survival against the threat of the non-White races.

Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on the theme 'Britain's economic crisis'. In this talk Mr. Tyndall attacks the policies that have made 3 million people in Britain unemployed and outlines the nationalist programme for the achievement of full employment.

These are both 90-minute cassettes and cost £3 each with 15p each added to cover postage. They can be ordered from NNF Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

New Frontier, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

Read

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the New National Front. Price 35p. Annual subscription £6.15. 47p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BUY NEW FRONTIER IN BULK

New Frontier retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 32p post
25 copies £2.70 + 94p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.57 post
100 copies £8.40 + £1.90 post
150 copies £11.70 + £2.10 post
200 copies £14.40 + £2.25 post
300 copies £19.80 + £2.55 post
400 copies £24.00 + £2.80 post
500 copies £29.40) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £55.20) by headline
Cheques/postal orders to *New Frontier*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *New Frontier* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

Leicester leads influx into new party

THE FORMATION of the British National Party, announced last month, has acted as a catalyst for the reactivation of nationalists all over Britain who for the past two or three years have been politically dormant. This has been particularly noticeable in the Midlands.

From Leicester, during the 1970s a major centre of British Nationalism, comes the good news of an active group of the new party. This was formed at a meeting in the city on April 7th at which party leader John Tyndall was present to speak. The branch will be headed by John Peacock, formerly of the British Democratic Party, who is now busy rallying the many nationalists in the area who have been out of

active politics for the past couple of years. The branch will also have the support of well known local nationalist Ray Hill, who previously played a major role in the National Front of South Africa before returning to the UK and who is a forceful public speaker. The formation of a Leicester Group spearheads a drive to develop the party in the East Midlands, previously a stronghold of nationalism in Britain.

BIRMINGHAM TOO

A steady influx is also reported from Birmingham, another important area for nationalism. A very small group of the New National Front existed in the city. Now

with the formation of the BNP a much larger number of people are available there to organise a really strong local unit, and we will have further news from Birmingham in future issues.

There is also news that in East London, where the NNF had only modest support, the new party will benefit from a powerful influx of new nationalists, largely from British Movement. More news of this later.

New Frontier will keep its

readers regularly informed of the development of the British National Party throughout Britain in the coming months. It should be an exciting time for those who want to see British Nationalism re-established as a political force. We are already on the way!

YOUNG NATIONALIST

Read *Young Nationalist*, the paper for nationalist youth. Send 20p for sample copy to: 16 Vale Lodge, Perry Vale, London S.E.23.

NEWS FROM Milton Keynes

GEOFFREY YOUNGER, a popular and respected Nationalist who lives in Milton Keynes, has joined the Milton Keynes British National Party. Mr. Younger, a 39-year-old father of three, has regularly



GEOFFREY YOUNGER

attended local party meetings during the past year. A toolmaker and staunch trade unionist, Mr. Younger was the Founder and first Organiser of the North Bucks National Front and he stood as the National Front's parliamentary candidate for Daventry in the 1979 general election. *New Frontier's* editor, Ron Rickcord, who is Chairman of the Milton Keynes BNP, has expressed his delight at Mr. Younger's decision. He said: "I am extremely pleased that Geoff has decided to join us. His membership will be a great asset to the Party in this area."

COUNCIL ELECTION

RONALD RICKCORD, Editor of *New Frontier* and Organiser of the Milton Keynes BNP, will be contesting the Fenny Stratford ward of Milton Keynes in the borough council elections taking place this month. The party has, for reasons of strategy, decided not to make any large commitment to fight elections this year and Mr. Rickcord's campaign will be one of the few waged. We all wish Ron the very best of luck in his efforts.

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name

Address

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *New Frontier* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.40 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *New Frontier* and send to *New Frontier*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

An additional charge of £1.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name

Address

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED